RON WYDEN, Oregon, Chairman

TIM JOHNSON, South Dakota MARY L. LANDRIEU, Louisiana MARIA CANTWELL, Washington BERNARD SANDERS, Vermont DEBBIE STABENOW, Michigan MARK UDALL, Colorado AL FRANKEN, Minnesota JOE MANCHIN, III, West Virginia CHRISTOPHER A. COONS, Delaware BRIAN SCHATZ, Hawaii MARTIN HEINRICH, New Mexico

LISA MURKOWSKI, Alaska JOHN BARRASSO, Wyoming JAMES E. RISCH, Idaho MIKE LEE, Utah DEAN HELLER, Nevada JEFF FLAKE, Arizona TIM SCOTT, South Carolina LAMAR ALEXANDER, Tennessee ROB PORTMAN, Ohio JOHN HOEVEN, North Dakota

JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, STAFF DIRECTOR SAM E. FOWLER, CHIEF COUNSEL.
KAREN K. BILLUPS, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR
PATRICK J. McCORMICK III, REPUBLICAN CHIEF COUNSEL United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON **ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES** 

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6150

WWW.ENERGY.SENATE.GOV

March 6, 2013

The Honorable Jon Wellinghoff Chairman Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Dear Chairman Wellinghoff:

Since 2009, the Commission has initiated ten rate proceedings pursuant to section 5 of the Natural Gas Act (15 USC 717d(a)) to investigate whether rates charged by natural gas pipelines are just and reasonable. One additional section 5 complaint has been filed by outside parties in the past four years. These proceedings concerned allegations that pipeline companies collected fees from pipeline customers for transportation, storage, and fuel that were unjust and unreasonable.

In an effort to better understand the nature of these proceedings, we request that for each of the section 5 cases which have been resolved to date, please specify the original FERC-approved rate of return and note whether the pipeline companies offered any discounts for its services. Please also provide a brief description of and timeline for the section 5 proceeding and its resolution, whether by settlement or otherwise, including changes in rates for the various customer classes resulting from the proceeding, if

In 2008, the Commission revised its Form 2 which is filed annually by natural gas pipeline companies to provide FERC, pipeline customers, and the public with detailed information about the pipelines' costs and revenues. These changes appear to have helped FERC and pipeline customers to determine whether pipeline rates are just and reasonable. Please provide us information on the rules and procedures describing who may challenge pipeline rates, including the Commission and whether the FERC has the authority, under the Natural Gas Act or any other applicable law, to require pipelines to periodically show cause that their rates are just and reasonable.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request.

Sincerely,

Chairman

Lisa Murkowski

Ranking Member