Chairman Manchin, Ranking Member Barrasso, and members of the committee, thank you for inviting me to testify today. My name is Erik Milito, and I am President of the National Ocean Industries Association, or NOIA. For more than 50 years, the National Ocean Industries Association (“NOIA”) has represented the interests of all segments of the offshore energy industry, including offshore oil and gas, offshore wind, offshore minerals, and offshore carbon sequestration. Our membership includes energy project leaseholders and developers and the entire supply chain of companies that make up an innovative energy system contributing to the safe and responsible exploration, development, and production of energy for the American people.

The offshore energy sector is a proven leader in solving energy challenges and delivering diverse sources of energy to the global economy. The offshore industry brings together the companies that produce foundational energy sources such as oil and gas, while leading innovation and investment in energy sources and technologies that will drive decarbonization efforts well into the future. The offshore energy sector has unparalleled expertise and experience deploying and scaling technologies at levels necessary to achieve decarbonization objectives. Companies throughout the offshore industry continue to lead the way in innovating low emission solutions that include offshore wind, carbon capture and storage, hydrogen, and geothermal, among others.

However, government policy out of Washington plays a central role in the ability of our industry to make the investments and build the projects for supplying the energy Americans rely upon to maintain a high quality of life. The federal bureaucracy makes it challenging to build things in the U.S. Companies must maneuver a web of federal agencies, statutes, and regulations every step of the way towards attempting to complete a project. Companies need certainty and predictability in the regulatory system in order to commit the funding and resources to projects that often cost billions of dollars to construct. Some progress has been made through the passage of recent legislation such as the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and the more recent debt ceiling bill, but further efforts at permitting reform are required to inject greater certainty into the federal process. Whether it is in West Virginia, Wyoming, or the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, our future energy security depends upon a reasonable, workable, and streamlined federal regulatory framework for all forms of energy.

For the foreseeable future, the offshore industry will play an integral role in shaping an energy system that promotes the production of affordable and reliable energy while continuing to
reduce environmental impacts, including emissions. Importantly, for the coming decades, oil and gas supplies will remain a vital energy source for Americans and our allies around the globe, while we simultaneously integrate and add low carbon sources into the mix.

A system of reliable, abundant, and affordable energy is essential for meeting basic societal needs, including healthy living conditions, health care, education, and mobility, economic or otherwise. Oil and gas fill the fuel tanks of passenger vehicles and airplanes. They are transformed into the essential building blocks of smartphones, clothing, and medical equipment. They are in so many products we use every day that they underpin the conveniences of modern life.

Natural gas is recognized as a key energy source for providing electricity, heating, cooling, and clean cooking. More than 750 million people around the globe do not have access to electricity, which leaves entire communities at a severe and fundamental disadvantage. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), “Access to energy is critical when it comes to the functionality of health-care facilities and the quality, accessibility and reliability of health services delivered. Electricity is necessary for the operation of critically needed medical devices such as vaccine refrigeration, surgical emergency, laboratory and diagnostic equipment, as well as for the operation of basic amenities such as lighting, cooling, ventilation and communications.”

Globally, 2.6 billion people do not have the means for clean cooking and must use solid fuels such as wood, crop wastes, charcoal, and dung in open fires and inefficient stoves. The WHO attributes 3.8 million premature deaths each year to indoor air pollution caused by the fumes and soot generated by inefficient and dirty cooking.

The tragic impacts of energy insecurity are not only experienced abroad; 44 percent of low-income American households experience energy insecurity, spending 10 percent to 20 percent of their income on energy expenses. Energy insecurity has adverse consequences on both physical and mental health. Millions of Americans are faced with the “heat or eat” dilemma, regularly having to choose between paying utility bills and paying for food.

Energy production in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico demonstrates that it is possible to develop offshore resources while adhering to the highest safety and environmental standards. A multitude of companies involved in offshore energy development are working collaboratively to shrink an already small carbon footprint. From electrifying operations to deploying innovative solutions that reduce the size, weight, and part count of offshore infrastructure – thus increasing safety and decreasing emissions – the U.S. Gulf of Mexico hosts a high-tech revolution.

Currently, global oil consumption is approximately 100 million barrels per day. Various scenarios forecast global oil consumption volumes through 2050 and beyond, and nearly all of them predict substantial oil production will be necessary through at least 2050. The facts, data,

and our experience make clear that we should focus on the U.S. offshore region, and the Gulf of Mexico in particular, for securing those vital resources.

Oil produced from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico has a carbon intensity about one-half that of other producing regions. The technologies used in deepwater production – which represents 92 percent of the oil produced in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico – place this region among the lowest carbon intensity oil-producing regions in the world. A recent study by ICF International, and commissioned by NOIA, found that that U.S. Gulf of Mexico has a carbon intensity 46% lower than the global average outside of the U.S. and Canada, outperforming other nations like Russia, China, Brazil, Iran, Iraq, and Nigeria.

Emissions reduction is a global challenge. As analysts at Wood Mackenzie explain, “Removing or handicapping a low emitter [i.e., the U.S. offshore sector] hurts the collective global average.” Removing a proven, stable supplier such as the U.S. Gulf of Mexico would be a poor choice with devasting consequences. The better choice is to institute government policies that promote cleaner and safer domestic production, less reliance on higher-emitting foreign suppliers like Russia and China, and the preservation of hundreds of thousands of American jobs.

Efforts to restrict U.S. energy development could eventually lead to Americans of every walk of life having to contend with the issues Europe has been experiencing as a result of disrupted supply from Russia, including potential industrial curtailment and families having to make difficult choices between heat and food. Our energy reality makes it clear that U.S. energy policy should support U.S. energy production of all types, including offshore oil and gas and wind. Government policies play a substantial role in the ability to develop energy in the U.S., whether onshore or offshore, and whether the energy source is oil and gas, wind, hydrogen, or another resource. Obstructive government policies inevitably lead to adverse consequences for our energy security, national security, economic security, and decarbonization efforts.

Oil and natural gas touch every part of our daily lives. Fundamentally, “Everything that is fabricated, grown, operated or moved is made possible by hydrocarbons.” The U.S. Department of Energy states:

Oil and natural gas play an essential role in powering America’s vibrant economy and fueling a remarkable quality of life in the United States. Together, oil and natural gas provide more than two-thirds of the energy Americans consume daily, and we will continue to rely on them in the future. In addition to meeting our energy needs, oil and natural gas are integral to our standard of living in ways that are often not apparent. Several key advances in technology enabled a dramatic increase in domestic oil and natural gas production over the past 20 years. This increased production provides energy security and economic benefits to the entire country,
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and ongoing technology advances will help us to enjoy those benefits into the future.

Oil and natural gas are used in many ways that are familiar to consumers. Petroleum products power transportation, providing fuel for cars, trucks, marine vessels, locomotives, and airplanes. Natural gas generates more than one-third of the electricity needed for dependable heating, air conditioning, lighting, industrial production, refrigeration, and other essential services, and tens of millions of Americans rely on oil and natural gas to heat their homes directly and on clean burning natural gas to cook their food. But petroleum products do so much more than fuel our cars and power our homes and businesses.

While perhaps less recognized, oil and natural gas also play critical roles in supplying essential products and materials, increasing agricultural productivity, and supporting the expansion of new energy sources.

Oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids are building blocks for a range of modern materials used to produce life-changing prosthetics, energy-efficient homes, safer cars that go farther on a gallon of gasoline, and hundreds more consumer products that Americans use every day. Plastics and chemicals derived from oil and natural gas make our food safer, our clothing more comfortable, our homes easier to care for, and our daily lives more convenient.

Natural gas is also a key ingredient for chemical fertilizers, helping increase crop production and yield per acre planted, and powering many important operations on the farm like crop drying.9

According to the United Nations, access to affordable, reliable, and sustainable energy is critical to achieving many international development goals, specifically, the eradication of poverty through continued improvements in education, health, and access to water.10 Oil and natural gas play a central role in eliminating poverty and raising the standard of living for millions by serving as a key form of abundant and affordable energy.

The cost of energy is fundamentally driven by supply and demand and, recently, global markets have been disrupted by a supply crunch in both the oil and natural gas markets. The energy paradigm has shifted over the past decade, with the United States rising to a position of energy power and emerging as the leading producer of both oil and natural gas in the world. Vice Chairman of IHS Markit Daniel Yergin explains how things have changed:

According to the old script, United States oil production was too marginal to affect world oil prices. But the gap today between demand and available supply on the world market is narrow. The additional oil Saudi Arabia is putting into the market will help replace Iranian exports as they are increasingly squeezed out of the market by sanctions…. But if

America’s increase . . . [in oil production] . . . had not occurred, then the world oil market would be even tighter. We would be looking at much higher prices – and voters would be even angrier.\textsuperscript{11}

Mr. Yergin made this point in 2012 at the outset of the shale revolution, but the significance of U.S. production for global energy markets is as important as ever today. In fact, Mr. Yergin reiterated this very point in February 2022 in the aptly title op-ed in the \textit{Wall Street Journal}, “America Takes Pole Position on Oil and Gas.”

Analysts recognize that the downturn in the oil and natural gas industry from 2014-2020, combined with ill-conceived policies and investment approaches, led to significant underinvestment in oil and natural gas exploration and infrastructure. According to Simon Flower, Chairman, Chief Analyst at Wood Mackenzie and author of a weekly column called The Edge, in 2021, “Underinvestment in oil supply will lead to a tight oil market later this decade. It’s a narrative that’s gained increasing traction as capital expenditure on upstream oil and gas has shrunk. Spend in 2021 is half the peak of 2014 after slumping to new depths in [2021’s] crisis.”\textsuperscript{12}

Mr. Flowers poses the question, “How much new oil supply does the world need?” His answer is, “A lot - we reckon about 20 million b/d from 2022 to 2030.” According to Flowers, “This is the ‘supply gap’, the difference between our estimate of demand in 2030 and the volumes we forecast existing fields already onstream or under development can deliver.”\textsuperscript{13} If his numbers are correct, a huge amount of new oil is needed to close the expected gap between the supply and demand and help bring stability and affordability to oil and petroleum product prices.

Rystad Energy echoes the concern about the supply gap and the huge amount of investment needed to close it. According to Rystad, more exploration for oil and gas is needed to supply the volumes needed worldwide by 2050.\textsuperscript{14} In fact, it will take massive investment just to keep pace with growing demand. Rystad suggests capital expenditures of at least $3 trillion will be required to replenish declining production from currently producing assets around the world to meet expected global demand in 2050.

We are fortunate in the United States that our Gulf of Mexico region is up to the task of delivering the oil and gas the economy needs. Production numbers from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico place it in the company of some of the largest oil producing countries. If the Gulf of Mexico were its own country, it would be one of the top eleven oil producing countries:
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Offshore energy is truly a story of accomplishing more with less – creating more energy with less environmental impact. Offshore production platforms are incredible edifices of continuously evolving technology that allow enormous amounts of energy to be produced through a relatively small footprint. Incredibly, 18 deepwater facilities, which equate to about the size of only nine city blocks, produce about the same amount of oil as the entire state of North Dakota.15

In short, the U.S. and world depend upon reliable supplies of oil and natural gas for a high quality of life and to lift people out of poverty, and U.S. offshore production should be the basin of choice for producing that energy because of demonstrably lower GHG emissions and environmental impacts for an energy source we will continue to need for years to come.

In the report titled “How the Gulf of Mexico can further the energy transition,” McKinsey describes four key factors that give the deepwater Gulf of Mexico a “low carbon advantage”:

First, in contrast to other regions where flaring natural gas without a market is more commonplace, most of the natural gas produced in the Gulf of Mexico is sold to local markets, which results in minimal routine flaring and, consequently, less GHG emissions.

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration.
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Second, the facilities have efficient, modern designs that minimize methane leakage. Third, wells and production facilities have a high throughput, minimizing the number of energy-intensive processes required to bring on new supply, such as drilling. And fourth, operators have made active decarbonization efforts to stay in line with environmental sustainability goals and in compliance with regulations.\(^\text{16}\)

McKinsey estimates production from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico could decrease by about 800,000 barrels per day by 2040 without additional projects beyond those that have already been sanctioned. In that situation, McKinsey expects lost production would be made up by substitutions from other parts of the world without much oil demand destruction. Our country would be able to import sufficient oil, but it would come from higher-emitting basins, resulting in an increase in greenhouse gas emissions globally:

This supply reduction would have to be offset by alternative sources to meet global demand, which could hinder net-zero goals significantly. Because many other oil producing regions globally have total unit costs similar to those in the Gulf of Mexico, global oil price increases or substitution with other energy sources wouldn’t be expected, and global demand for oil would remain unchanged. Instead, the reduced Gulf supply would be offset by production increases from other sources, such as other deepwater basins, shale, and OPEC. Based on the higher emissions per barrel of this new supply, global emissions would increase by 50 million to 100 million metric tons of CO\(_2\)e through 2040.\(^\text{17}\)

The offshore energy sector is also playing a central role in the build-out of vast amounts of wind power generation capacity. As a leading advocate for offshore wind, NOIA continues to promote policies to enable the build-out of new offshore wind resources in federal waters. That support extends to efforts to pursue offshore wind leasing and development on the Outer Continental Shelf (“OCS”) in the Gulf of Mexico and along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. Offshore wind projects are vital to the economic growth of this country and efforts to meet climate goals for the 21st century and beyond. According to a recent report by the American Clean Power Association, expanded offshore wind development could spark $120 billion\(^\text{18}\) in investments.

NOIA and several other allied organizations commissioned a study that examined the net economic benefits of future offshore wind opportunities. That study by Wood Mackenzie found that by leasing areas in places like offshore New York, New Jersey, the Carolinas, the Northeast, and California, offshore wind development could support 80,000 jobs per year through 2035, in addition to bringing in billions of dollars to the Treasury in the form revenue generated from new lease sales.\(^\text{19}\)

\(^{16}\) Brown, Di Fiori, Smith, and Yanosek, “Deepwater Gulf of Mexico’s role during the energy transition,” McKinsey, September 2022, at pages 3-4.

\(^{17}\) Brown, Di Fiori, Smith, and Yanosek, “Deepwater Gulf of Mexico’s role during the energy transition,” McKinsey, September 2022, at page 6.
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Clearly, offshore wind development in federally managed waters offers enormous economic and environmental benefits and will help meet renewable energy goals. The Administration has set a goal of 30 GW of offshore wind power by the year 2030. The Administration continues to take important steps to accomplish that objective, including scheduling of lease sales, processing and approving construction and operations plans, and modernization the regulatory framework. However, improvements are still needed to reduce timelines and protect against excessive litigation.

From a regulatory standpoint, federal government policy must serve to eliminate potential roadblocks to investment in energy projects, including offshore wind. The recent debt ceiling agreement included important changes that will hopefully help streamline the permitting process. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is a bedrock law for guiding the federal decision making process with due consideration of the potential environmental impacts. However, as with any rule or regulation, it is important that we take the time to review and improve rules and regulations as necessary to promote efficiency and effectiveness in regulation. The inclusion of various provisions in the debt ceiling agreement to enhance NEPA was a positive step toward streamlining the permitting process. We remain hopeful that Congress will continue to work together to refine and improve all aspects of permitting.

We remain concerned about potential delays for the leasing and permitting of projects by the Administration. As the Administration reviews and reworks regulations and energy programs, it will be important to ensure changes to the regulatory framework are done in a way that promotes U.S. energy development of all types. Environmental stewardship and energy progress are not mutually exclusive; members of NOIA have consistently been leaders in both arenas. Promulgating rules that balance the need for energy development with effective environmental stewardship will provide the certainty to attract and secure the massive investment commitments required for offshore energy projects.

The implementation of NEPA by federal agencies will ultimately determine the timeline and pathway for many U.S. energy projects. Timely and transparent NEPA processes are of significant importance to project developers, investors, employees, and contractors whose jobs and livelihoods are tied to projects subject to NEPA reviews. Preconstruction delays for projects typically add costs and delay the delivery of the benefits that projects can bring. Delays and associated cost increases can even result in projects being canceled altogether. In today’s globalized economy, where there is a high level of competition for the world’s investment, increasing uncertainty and delays in the federal permitting process can serve to drive investments elsewhere.

Lack of clarity in the NEPA process not only impacts the time it takes a federal agency to act, but also increases litigation risk. Because of its broad applicability across sectors and agencies, NEPA is often at the center of project opponents’ litigation strategy in seeking to delay and block energy and infrastructure projects. In response to the threat of litigation, agencies prepare NEPA analyses in defense of potential litigation, attempting to anticipate every possible objection that could be raised in court, however insignificant and however detached from the intent of NEPA. The result is that over time NEPA has become less about informing agencies
and the public of environmental impacts of significance, and more about agencies attempting to avoid lengthy and costly litigation. Several NEPA-related legal challenges have already been filed over the approvals of the construction and operation plans for the early-mover offshore wind projects. Congress should continue to consider permitting legislation to streamline the NEPA process and reduce investment and litigation uncertainty. From a policy standpoint, it will also be critical for the U.S. Treasury Department to implement the available tax credits for renewable and CCS projects with flexibility so that the credits can be fully realized.

In order to fully unleash American energy potential, federal policy must promote consistency and predictability in leasing, permitting, and regulation. In an unprecedented fashion, the Administration has paused and delayed offshore oil and gas leasing and has failed to timely develop a new leasing program for U.S. federal waters, putting into jeopardy U.S. energy production, major capital investments, and thousands of jobs.

Since its inception, offshore oil and gas production has created hundreds of thousands of jobs and generated billions in royalties for the U.S. Treasury, boosting our nation’s energy independence and national security – all while yielding approximately half of the carbon intensity per barrel of other producers worldwide. The offshore industry has worked with the federal government and conservation partners, such as the Coastal Conservation Association (CCA), to collaborate on innovative efforts like the Rigs-to-Reef program, which repurposes obsolete platforms into habitats for marine life and further helps create a national recreational fishing economy. Additionally, legislation and programs like the Great American Outdoors Act, the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act (GOMESA), and the Land and Water Conservation Fund ensure that billions of more dollars from federal offshore oil and gas leasing is dedicated to long-term coastal conservation and restoration, environmental protection, and urban recreation efforts. Without continued reliable leasing this funding is at risk.

Also, under the Inflation Reduction Act, periodic oil and gas lease sales in the Gulf of Mexico are now required in order for the Department of the Interior to issue offshore wind leases. Many of the same companies that built the offshore oil and gas sector in the Gulf of Mexico are now participating in the build-out of the offshore wind sector in the Atlantic. This includes many service and supply companies along the Gulf Coast and beyond, who have expertise in marine construction, fabrication, subsea engineering and design, and offshore vessel services. A steady stream of offshore oil and gas and offshore wind lease sales is needed for the supply chain to fully realize these incredible opportunities before us. We encourage this committee to consider legislation that will serve to preserve the future of offshore leasing for both offshore oil and gas and offshore wind. This would necessarily include mandates for biannual oil and gas lease sales in the Gulf of Mexico, periodic lease sales in Alaska, and a robust schedule of offshore wind leases with ample acreage in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf of Mexico. “The Offshore Energy Security Act of 2023,” recently introduced by Senator Cassidy, is one vehicle that should be considered as Congress moves forward to address federal permitting. We are also supportive of the “Reinvesting in Shoreline Economies & Ecosystems (RISEE) Act”, cosponsored by Senators Cassidy and Whitehouse, and would lift the revenue sharing caps under GOMESA and create a new dedicated stream of funding from future offshore wind development for coastal protection and resiliency. The “Energy Independence and Security Act” and the “Spur Permitting of Underdeveloped Resources Act” are likewise examples of legislation
that has been introduced to help address gaps, delays, and uncertainty in the permitting of energy projects. As it relates specifically to the statutory provisions for offshore wind, Congress can seek to instill greater certainty and predictability into the regulatory system through revisions to the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act related to leasing, permitting, and litigation.

Progress towards addressing the climate challenge will further depend upon the advancement of principles of innovation, conservation, efficiency, resiliency, mitigation, and adaptation. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is an innovative approach to mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and it will be critical for achieving the climate change ambitions and goals that have been established by diverse stakeholders around the world. U.S leadership in CCS will help ensure the availability of abundant, reliable, and affordable domestic energy, while continuously driving down emissions.

According to the International Energy Agency:

Carbon capture, utilisation and storage (CCUS) technologies offer an important opportunity to achieve deep carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions reductions in key industrial processes and in the use of fossil fuels in the power sector. CCUS can also enable new clean energy pathways, including low-carbon hydrogen production, while providing a foundation for many carbon dioxide removal (CDR) technologies.20

As it relates specifically to the offshore, the National Petroleum Council concluded that “One of the largest opportunities for saline formation storage in the United States can be found in federal waters, particularly in the Gulf of Mexico.” Meeting the Dual Challenge, p. 27. This is also true as it pertains to state waters along the Gulf Coast. The U.S. Gulf of Mexico offshore region provides tremendous advantages for an emerging U.S. CCS sector. The Gulf of Mexico is characterized by vast geologic prospects for CO₂ storage, extensive and established energy infrastructure along the Gulf Coast and throughout the outer continental shelf, a proximity to industrial centers for capturing emissions, and an assessable engineering and energy knowledge base and workforce, along with associated RD&D capabilities. The U.S. Gulf of Mexico could very well soon be the leader in CCS. Early projections show that 50 million tons of CO₂ annually could be stored beneath the Gulf of Mexico by 2030, more than all the CCS currently operating globally. The Gulf’s storage capacity could double by 2040.

The build-out of the U.S. offshore carbon storage industry will depend upon certainty and predictability in the U.S. laws and regulations. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 (P.L. 117-58) included Sec. 40307, explicitly authorizing the Department of the Interior to grant leases, easements, or rights-of-way on the outer continental shelf for the purposes of long-term storage of CO₂. It also directed the Secretary to issue regulations to that effect within one year of enactment, or by November 2022. NOIA understands that Interior is in the process of developing the regulatory framework for offshore CO₂ sequestration as directed by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. However, a protracted timeline for finalization of the rules and for the initiation of leasing and project development could substantially impede U.S. efforts to decarbonize through offshore CCS. It will also be important for Congress to ensure adequate funding for Interior to fulfill its responsibilities for developing regulation, leasing and
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regulating the activity. Finally, the U.S. Department of Treasury must implement the 45Q tax credit with sufficient flexibility to ensure a viable and durable U.S. offshore CCS program.

It is also important to note that EPA’s proposed power plant rule presumably would seek emissions reductions through the installation of carbon capture technologies at facilities and through the associated storage of the emissions in underground geologic formations. However, from a practical standpoint, for such a rule to be implemented, there will need to be a substantial increase in the capacity to store carbon dioxide in underground storage reservoirs and in the offshore region in particular. The federal government, and the U.S. Department of the Interior more specifically, will need to move forward with greater speed to develop regulations and leasing opportunities for offshore sequestration for the rule to be workable and to accommodate the potential storage of carbon dioxide to be captured under EPA’s proposal.

The U.S. economy relies upon affordable and reliable supplies of all forms of energy, including continued supplies of oil and natural gas. Continued U.S. offshore oil and gas development provides vast benefits and a sensible pathway for energy security for the next few decades. At the same time, the U.S. offshore sector is contributing to the development of low and zero carbon energy options, including offshore wind, hydrogen, and carbon removal technologies. The pathway toward investment in American energy projects must be streamlined so that we can truly harness the energy and innovation potential that lies before us in all of these activities. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the offshore energy industry. NOIA and our members stand ready to work with the committee and all policy makers to ensure that Americans can rely upon an affordable and reliable energy system built upon strong pillars of energy, economic, national, and environmental security.

Very respectfully,

Erik Milito
President, National Ocean Industries Association