

September 16, 2008

ENERGY/Oil & Gas

Curbing Oil Speculation. Time for Change.

SUMMARY

The unprecedented 150% surge in crude oil prices between January 2007 and July 2008 was, in our opinion, more a result of excessive speculation than due to strong market fundamentals. It is worth noting that oil demand during this period declined in most regions of the world, except where oil prices are subsidized. **Government inaction and lack of regulation have contributed to what amounted to the fleecing of America by speculators, who created the oil bubble.** Despite the 36% decline from the July record, oil prices are still 41% above a year ago and remain inflated as even the Russian invasion of Georgia, the 10-day disruption of oil flow from Azerbaijan due to the Turkish pipeline explosion and the two recent hurricanes have failed to stem the slide. **The oil bubble is deflated, not burst.**

KEY POINTS

- **Self Regulation.** It usually does not work, especially when huge sums of money are involved as in the case of oil exchanges, which have become global gambling halls. In our opinion, government regulators are either unable or unwilling to hold the speculators accountable for their actions. **If self regulation worked, we wouldn't need the IRS to collect taxes or traffic cops and speeding penalties to enforce speed limits.**
- **Oil Speculators.** They are financial players that use oil futures to hedge against interest rates, currency, inflation, and market indices. They facilitate trading among commercial hedgers, but exacerbate price movements and create volatility that often disconnects prices from market fundamentals. **Speculators make bets on perception of events they often help create to manipulate prices.**
- **Government Inaction.** The CFTC (U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission) continues to minimize the role of speculators in the oil markets, and maintains that prices reflect fundamentals. It has underestimated speculators' share of oil futures and cannot explain why prices rose despite weaker demand and declined despite tightening supply. **The CFTC cannot fix a problem it denies exists.**
- **Market Manipulation.** It is our view that financial players, led by the largest investment banks, who are also the largest oil traders, have created the oil bubble by hyping demand growth and supply concerns. But supply seems to have outpaced demand as growth faded due to the global economic slowdown. **The financial markets' meltdown could significantly reduce speculation.**
- **OPEC Role.** OPEC has been an unwilling participant in the fleecing of America at the hands of financial speculators, which was overlooked by government regulators. A year ago OPEC declared that oil prices were driven by speculations, and should not exceed \$55/b, which was \$10/b higher than the \$45/b target set in 2006. **We don't expect OPEC to defend oil prices above \$60/b.**

See "Important Disclosures and Certifications" section at the end of this report for important disclosures, including potential conflicts of interest.

See "Price Target Calculation" and "Key Risks to Price Target" sections at the end of this report, where applicable.

Following is the text of my testimony before the Subcommittee on Energy of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. The hearing was held on Tuesday, September 16, 2008, at 2:30 p.m. in room 366 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building in Washington, D.C.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive testimony on recent analyses of the role of speculative investment in energy markets.

Good afternoon.

I am here today to share my personal views on the impact of speculation on the oil markets. ***I believe the energy markets in recent years have been driven more by speculation than by industry fundamentals of supply and demand.*** Oil prices peaked in July at more than \$148/b, despite softening demand, to more than double their levels a year earlier. Since then, oil prices declined by more than 36% despite supply disruptions. Speculation has disconnected oil prices from market fundamentals.

As a managing director of oil & gas research at Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., I closely follow the energy markets for the sole purpose of advising investors in energy stocks. I do not trade energy future contracts or manage investments that could gain from lower energy prices. I have no vested interests in declining energy prices, and in fact, the energy stocks that I own for many years would decline further with lower oil prices.

I testified on the impact of speculation on oil prices on December 11, 2007, before the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Subcommittee on Energy of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. Oil prices then averaged \$90.02/b, up 47% from \$61.22/b a year earlier. When I testified before the House Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, oil prices closed at \$132.57/b, or double the \$66.27/b a year earlier. Although oil prices are down 36% from their peak in July, and down 1% for the year, they are still 43% above the year-ago level.

Even after the recent decline, I believe that oil prices are still inflated and their current levels do not reflect market fundamentals. The price decline was a result of selling of oil future contracts by financial players on fears of slowing oil demand due to the weak global economy and the meltdown in the financial markets. The fact that the decline in crude oil prices has continued despite the Russian invasion of Georgia, the 10-day disruption of oil production from Azerbaijan due to the pipeline explosion of the Turkish pipeline, and the recent hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico, proves that oil prices are inflated and that they are disconnected from supply and demand fundamentals.

Many people believe that the recent drop in oil prices was a result of pending legislation allowing more drilling in the U.S. Although we should pursue all options, including opening federal land to exploration, this is unlikely to have any meaningful impact on our dependence on foreign oil for years. I believe energy conservation and increased use of alternative energy sources, including renewable energy, is a much better strategy and should be a top priority in any future energy legislation. However, I think the investigations by the Senate and the House have contributed to the recent decline in oil prices by exposing the role speculators played in creating the oil price bubble.

I have been an energy analyst for more than 22 years, and spent six years before that working for a major oil company. I follow 22 energy companies including the majors, the integrated, the independent refiners and the domestic oil and gas producers. I communicate regularly with the companies I cover, and none of them either publicly, or privately, indicated they expected oil prices to reach, let alone exceed, \$100/b. Some even ridiculed \$60/b oil and declared it to be unsustainable.

Only a year ago several OPEC ministers said that the surge in oil prices was not due to lack of supply, but due to excessive speculation and a weak dollar. OPEC repeatedly said that the "fair" price for oil is around \$55/b. Our Energy Secretary last October agreed with OPEC that speculations, not market fundamentals, were the cause of the run-up in oil prices. That was before he reversed his opinion last June, and agreed with the Treasury Secretary that oil prices reflected supply and demand fundamentals, not excessive speculation.

Three years ago, after hurricane Katrina, I had two long discussions with the secretary general of OPEC, Dr. Adnan Shehab-Eldin, Ph.D, who was also the head of OPEC Economic Analysis for the past 14 years. He expressed deep reservations about the surge in oil prices to \$62/b because of its potential negative impact on global economic growth, energy demand, and potential energy conservation and switching to renewable sources. He believed that OPEC prefers to see oil prices closer to \$45/b, not \$65/b. The unprecedented surge in oil prices was, in my opinion, more due to excessive speculation rather than increased global demand, a weak dollar, or supply concerns.

Self-regulated markets don't work, especially when there are huge sums of money to be made. There are always people who will try to beat the system in markets that are tightly regulated by the government, let alone self regulated. I liken self-regulated markets to trying to enforce the speed limit without traffic cops or speeding penalties, or collecting taxes without filing with the IRS. The self-regulated markets are like private clubs making up their own rules. They will fight change, but should not be given that option. Government agencies should not defend the right of a few to make huge profits at public expense.

Speculation is not illegal, but excessive speculation could result in serious economic dislocation. Investors speculate when they buy or sell stocks and financial players speculate when they buy or sell future oil contracts. Speculators provide the needed liquidity to facilitate trading transactions between commercial hedgers who end up taking physical delivery of the commodity. But, since financial speculators have no intention of taking physical delivery, they usually roll over their hedge positions. They generate huge profit if prices move in line with their bets, up or down. Excessive speculations, however, tend to magnify and exacerbate price movements and create volatility that could disconnect prices from market fundamentals as has been the case in recent years.

Oil is unlike any other commodity where prices are usually based on supply and demand. That is because the oil markets are not free markets since more than half of the world supply is now controlled by OPEC and Russia, while demand is impacted by government taxes and subsidies. Sharply higher oil prices reduced demand in most countries, except where prices are subsidized, like in China, India, and in oil exporting and developing countries. High oil prices limited access to new energy resources as they strengthened the hand of national oil companies, while significantly increasing government take, including royalties, taxes, fees, and tariffs. High oil prices remove the incentive for oil exporting countries to grant new concessions to international oil companies. That explains why high oil prices did not result in increased supply and did not fully impact demand.

I believe the government must regulate the oil markets, and limit, not eliminate financial speculation,

which is needed to facilitate trading transaction by commercial hedgers. The CFTC should raise the margin requirement, set trading limits, require transparency, prevent conflict of interests, and limit trading to government regulated exchanges in compliance with U.S. rules.

Important Disclosures and Certifications

Analyst Certification - The author certifies that this research report accurately states his/her personal views about the subject securities, which are reflected in the ratings as well as in the substance of this report. The author certifies that no part of his/her compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in this research report.

Potential Conflicts of Interest:

Equity research analysts employed by Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. are compensated from revenues generated by the firm including the Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Investment Banking Department. Research analysts do not receive compensation based upon revenues from specific investment banking transactions. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. generally prohibits any research analyst and any member of his or her household from executing trades in the securities of a company that such research analyst covers. Additionally, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. generally prohibits any research analyst from serving as an officer, director or advisory board member of a company that such analyst covers. In addition to 1% ownership positions in covered companies that are required to be specifically disclosed in this report, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. may have a long position of less than 1% or a short position or deal as principal in the securities discussed herein, related securities or in options, futures or other derivative instruments based thereon. Recipients of this report are advised that any or all of the foregoing arrangements, as well as more specific disclosures set forth below, may at times give rise to potential conflicts of interest.

All price targets displayed in the chart above are for a 12- to 18-month period. Prior to March 30, 2004, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. used 6-, 12-, 12- to 18-, and 12- to 24-month price targets and ranges. For more information about target price histories, please write to Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., 300 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attention: Equity Research Department, Business Manager.

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Rating System as of January 14th, 2008:

Outperform(O) - Stock expected to outperform the S&P 500 within the next 12-18 months.

Perform (P) - Stock expected to perform in line with the S&P 500 within the next 12-18 months.

Underperform (U) - Stock expected to underperform the S&P 500 within the next 12-18 months.

Not Rated (NR) - Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. does not maintain coverage of the stock or is restricted from doing so due to a potential conflict of interest.

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Rating System prior to January 14th, 2008:

Buy - anticipates appreciation of 10% or more within the next 12 months, and/or a total return of 10% including dividend payments, and/or the ability of the shares to perform better than the leading stock market averages or stocks within its particular industry sector.

Neutral - anticipates that the shares will trade at or near their current price and generally in line with the leading market averages due to a perceived absence of strong dynamics that would cause volatility either to the upside or downside, and/or will perform less well than higher rated companies within its peer group. Our readers should be aware that when a rating change occurs to Neutral from Buy, aggressive trading accounts might decide to liquidate their positions to employ the funds elsewhere.

Sell - anticipates that the shares will depreciate 10% or more in price within the next 12 months, due to fundamental weakness perceived in the company or for valuation reasons, or are expected to perform significantly worse than equities within the peer group.

Distribution of Ratings/IB Services Firmwide

Rating	Count	IB Serv/Past 12 Mos.	
		Percent	Count
OUTPERFORM [O]	344	47.32	139
PERFORM [P]	352	48.42	115
UNDERPERFORM [U]	31	4.26	7

Although the investment recommendations within the three-tiered, relative stock rating system utilized by Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. do not correlate to buy, hold and sell recommendations, for the purposes of complying with FINRA rules, Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. has assigned buy ratings to securities rated Outperform, hold ratings to securities rated Perform, and sell ratings to securities rated Underperform.

Additional Information Available

Please log on to <http://www.opco.com> or write to Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., 300 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017, Attention: Equity Research Department, Business Manager.

Other Disclosures

This report is issued and approved for distribution by Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., a member of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), NASD and SIPC. This report is provided, for informational purposes only, to institutional and retail investor clients of Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities discussed herein in any jurisdiction where such offer or solicitation would be prohibited. The securities mentioned in this report may not be suitable for all types of investors. This report does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or specific needs of any particular client of Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Recipients should consider this report as only a single factor in making an investment decision and should not rely solely on investment recommendations contained herein, if any, as a substitution for the exercise of independent judgment of the merits and risks of investments. The analyst writing the report is not a person or company with actual, implied or apparent authority to act on behalf of any issuer mentioned in the report. Before making an investment decision with respect to any security recommended in this report, the recipient should consider whether such recommendation is appropriate given the recipient's particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances. We recommend that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies, and encourage investors to seek the advice of a financial advisor. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. will not treat non-client recipients as its clients solely by virtue of their receiving this report. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance of any security mentioned in this report. The price of the securities mentioned in this report and the income they produce may fluctuate and/or be adversely affected by exchange rates, and investors may realize losses on investments in such securities, including the loss of investment principal. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. accepts no liability for any loss arising from the use of information contained in this report, except to the extent that liability may arise under specific statutes or regulations applicable to Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. All information, opinions and statistical data contained in this report were obtained or derived from public sources believed to be reliable, but Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. does not represent that any such information, opinion or statistical data is accurate or complete (with the exception of information contained in the Important Disclosures section of this report provided by Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. or individual research analysts), and they should not be relied upon as such. All estimates, opinions and recommendations expressed herein constitute judgments as of the date of this report and are subject to change without notice. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice. Since the levels and bases of taxation can change, any reference in this report to the impact of taxation should not be construed as offering tax advice on the tax consequences of investments. As with any investment having potential tax implications, clients should consult with their own independent tax adviser. This report may provide addresses of, or contain hyperlinks to, Internet web sites. Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. has not reviewed the linked Internet web site of any third party and takes no responsibility for the contents thereof. Each such address or hyperlink is provided solely for the recipient's convenience and

information, and the content of linked third party web sites is not in any way incorporated into this document. Recipients who choose to access such third-party web sites or follow such hyperlinks do so at their own risk.

This report or any portion hereof may not be reprinted, sold, or redistributed without the written consent of Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Copyright © Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. 2008.