## LISA MURKOWSKI ALASKA

COMMITTEES:

ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

APPROPRIATIONS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERIOR,
ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES
CHAIRMAN

HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS

INDIAN AFFAIRS



WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0203 (202) 224-6665 (202) 224-5301 FAX

October 8, 2015

510 L STREET, SUITE 600 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501-1956 (907) 271-3735

101 12TH AVENUE, ROOM 329 FAIRBANKS, AK 99701–6278 (907) 456–0233

800 GLACIER AVENUE, SUITE 101 JUNEAU, AK 99801 (907) 586-7277

805 FRONTAGE ROAD, SUITE 105 KENAI, AK 99611-9104 (907) 283-5808

1900 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 225 KETCHIKAN, AK 99901–6059 (907) 225–6880

851 EAST WESTPOINT DRIVE, SUITE 307 WASILLA, AK 99654-7142 (907) 376-7665

The Honorable Jacob J. Lew Secretary Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20220

Dear Secretary Lew:

This year has seen legislative activity aimed at repealing the outdated restrictions on exporting oil produced in the United States. The Energy Information Administration concluded in September that lifting the de facto ban would not raise domestic gasoline prices. In my opinion, such a long-overdue policy shift would conform to the principles laid out in the President's National Security Strategy and the Administration's Quadrennial Energy Review.

The licensing of crude oil exports is relevant to the work of the Treasury even though authorizations to export from the United States are strictly within the jurisdiction of the Department of Commerce. As I understand the requirements, U.S. persons must have the authorization of the Treasury to engage in transactions with or involving certain entities related to oil, gas, or petroleum products exported from Libya. For example, in April 2011, Treasury issued General License 5, "Authorizing Transactions Related to Certain Oil, Gas, or Petroleum Products Exported from Libya." In September 2011, Treasury issued General License 7A: "All transactions involving the Libyan National Oil Corporation (NOC) or entities owned or controlled by the NOC are authorized, provided that such transactions do not involve any other persons whose property and interests in property are blocked." Similarly, in 2013, the Treasury Department issued a statement of policy related to Syria: "Specific licenses may be issued on a case-by-case basis to authorize U.S. persons to engage in any transaction otherwise prohibited by [U.S. law]...including but not limited to new investment, involving the purchase, trade, export, import, or production of petroleum or petroleum products of Syrian origin for the benefit of the National Coalition of Syrian Revolutionary and Opposition forces."

The Administration's recent statements criticizing legislative efforts regarding U.S. oil exports stand in stark contrast to prior Administration policy designed to support Libyan and Syrian rebels in their efforts to export oil from their countries. Accordingly, at your earliest convenience, please provide written answers to the following questions:

1. Is there any evidence showing that American companies engaged in activity under the general licenses issued by Treasury related to Libyan oil exports? Is there any evidence showing that Libyan rebel groups benefited in any way from the issuance of these general licenses?

- 2. Is there any evidence showing that American companies engaged in activity under specific licenses related to Syrian oil exports, or that Syrian rebel groups benefited in any way from the issuance of these specific licenses?
- 3. If the answer to either of the foregoing questions is yes, please share that evidence with me to the extent and in the manner that you are permitted to do so by the governing statutes or regulations.
- 4. In your opinion, would further liberalization of U.S. export controls related to crude oil benefit the American economy and U.S. Treasury?

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Lisa Murkowski United States Senator